Higher dimensional flat embedding of Taub-NUT-AdS spacetime

Soon-Tae Hong
Department of Science Education and Research Institute for Basic Sciences, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750 Korea
(Dated: February 19, 2016)

We construct a global flat embedding structure of a Taub-NUT-AdS spacetime to yield a (6+5)-dimensional novel global embedding Minkowski spacetime. We also investigate Taub-NUT, Schwarzschild-AdS and Schwarzschild limits of the global embedding by exploiting parameter reduction scheme. In particular, we observe in the vanishing monopole strength limit of the Taub-NUT-AdS that the parameter reduction is not smoothly applicable to the Schwarzschild-AdS, due to the presence of imaginary roots of its lapse function associated with event horizon. Moreover, reductions from the Taub-NUT-AdS and Schwarzschild-AdS to Taub-NUT and Schwarzschild, respectively, are successfully performed. Finally, we construct the global embedding Minkowski spacetimes for the patches inside the event horizons of the Taub-NUT-AdS and its extended manifolds.

PACS numbers: 02.40.Ma, 04.20.Dw, 04.20.Jb, 04.70.Bw
Keywords: Taub-NUT-AdS, global flat embedding, Taub-NUT, Schwarzschild-AdS, cosmological constant, monopole strength

arXiv:1406.6107v2 [gr-qc] 18 Feb 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Since a Taub-NUT spacetime was proposed [1, 2], tremendous progress has been made in general relativity community. The Taub-NUT was exploited to treat on the manifold its associated novel topics such as D-brane, instanton bundle and hyper-Kahler quotients [3], rescaling of conformal Yano-Killing tensors [4], moduli spaces of instantons and mirror symmetry [5], geodesics and Weierstrass elliptic functions [6], black ring with dipole magnetic charges [7], Gowdy symmetry and Cauchy horizon [8], Dirac operator [9, 10] and integrability in conformally coupled gravity [11]. Next, the Taub-NUT-AdS has coordinate singularity occurring at certain values of the radial coordinate corresponding to bifurcate Killing horizons. The Taub-NUT-AdS includes the gravitational analogue of Yang-Mills instanton [12], the Kaluza-Klein monopole and gravitational instanton [13, 14], M-theory in higher dimensional spaces [15], gravitomagnetic monopole source effects [16] and O(N) vector model on squashed three-sphere [17].

On the other hand, a global embedding Minkowski space (GEMS) was intensively investigated by several authors [18–37]. A thermal Hawking effect [38] on a curved manifold can be looked at [40–45] as an Unruh effect [39] in a flat higher-dimensional spacetime such as the GEMS. Some physical aspects of the GEMS are to remove coordinate singularity in the four-metrics and to define the Hawking temperature [38, 46] and an entropy as area of the Rindler horizon in this formalism. Specifically, the GEMS scheme was adapted to the Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli [47–49], the Schwarzschild [50] together with its anti-de Sitter (AdS), the Reissner-Nordström (RN) [51, 52] and the RN-AdS [27] models. Very recently the GEMS structure of the Taub-NUT spacetime was investigated to yield a (6+5)-dimensional manifold [32].

In this paper, we will further analyze the GEMS of a Taub-NUT-AdS spacetime, by introducing an ansatz for coordinate transformations to handle a cross term of time and angle coordinates in its four-metric. Since the Taub-NUT-AdS possesses mass, cosmological constant and monopole strength as parameters, we will choose vanishing limits of these parameters to investigate Taub-NUT, Schwarzschild-AdS and Schwarzschild cases of the global embedding by exploiting parameter reduction scheme. We will show that the Taub-NUT-AdS lapse function has imaginary roots and thus, in its vanishing monopole strength limit, the parameter reduction cannot be successfully applied to the Schwarzschild-AdS. However, we will make reduction from the Taub-NUT-AdS and the Schwarzschild-AdS to the Taub-NUT and the Schwarzschild, respectively. In Section II, we construct a GEMS of a Taub-NUT-AdS spacetime. In Section III we investigate Taub-NUT, Schwarzschild-AdS and Schwarzschild limits of the global embedding. Section IV includes summaries and discussions.

II. TAUB-NUT-ADS GEMS

We start with the Taub-NUT-AdS four-metric given by

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma}(dt + 2n\cos\theta d\phi)^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}dr^2 + \Sigma(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\Delta \;=\; r^2 - 2Mr - n^2 + l^{-2}(r^4 + 6n^2r^2 - 3n^4), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\Sigma = r^2 + n^2, \tag{2.3}$$

with the parameters M, n and l being associated with the mass, gravitational magnetic monopole strength of the source, and the cosmological constant. Introducing $r_\pm$, which are roots of Δ, we can rewrite (2.2) for $r \geq r_+$ as

$$\Delta = l^{-2}(r - r_+)(r - r_-)\left[r^2 + (r_+ + r_-)r - c\right], \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$c = \frac{n^2(3n^2 + l^2)}{r_+ r_-}. \tag{2.5}$$

Next, we evaluate the l^2 in terms of $r_\pm$ as follows

$$l^2 = \frac{(r_+ + r_-)(r_+^2 + r_-^2 + 6n^2)}{2M - r_+ - r_-}.$$

Moreover, we find an identity

$$3(2M + r_+ + r_-)n^4 + [(r_+^2 + r_-^2)(r_+ + r_-) + 12Mr_+r_-]n^2 + r_+r_-[2M(r_+^2 + r_-^2 + r_+r_-) - r_+r_-(r_+ + r_-)] = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Finally we find the surface gravity κ_H of the Taub-NUT-AdS spacetime

$$\kappa_H = \frac{(r_+ - r_-)(2r_+^2 + r_+r_- - c)}{2l^2(r_+^2 + n^2)}. \tag{2.7}$$

In order to figure out constructing the GEMS, which will be shown below, we rewrite the four-metric in (2.1) as

$$ds^2 = -N^2dt^2 - 4nN^2\cos\theta dtd\phi - 4n^2N^2\cos^2\theta d\phi^2 + N^{-2}dr^2 + \Sigma(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$N^2 = \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma} = \frac{(r - r_+)(r - r_-)[r^2 + (r_+ + r_-)r - c]}{l^2(r^2 + n^2)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Now, we describe how to construct the GEMS structure for the Taub-NUT-AdS spacetime, because the GEMS has a highly nontrivial form. In order to generate the $dtd\phi$-term in (2.8), we take an ansatz for $(z^0, ..., z^3)$ in the GEMS for the Taub-NUT spacetime:

$$\begin{aligned}
z^0 &= \kappa^{-1}N\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sinh\kappa(t + 2n\phi), \\
z^1 &= \kappa^{-1}N\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cosh\kappa(t + 2n\phi), \\
z^2 &= \kappa^{-1}N\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sinh\kappa(t - 2n\phi), \\
z^3 &= \kappa^{-1}N\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cosh\kappa(t - 2n\phi),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

from which we find

$$-(dz^0)^2 + (dz^1)^2 - (dz^2)^2 + (dz^3)^2 = ds^2 - \left[N^{-2} - \kappa^{-2}\left(\frac{dN}{dr}\right)^2\right]dr^2 - \left(\Sigma - \frac{1}{4}\kappa^{-2}N^2\right)d\theta^2 - \tilde{\Sigma}\sin^2\theta d\phi^2. \tag{2.11}$$

Here $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma + 4n^2N^2. \tag{2.12}$$

With another ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}
z^4 &= \tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}\sin\theta\cos\phi, \\
z^5 &= \tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi, \\
z^6 &= \tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}\cos\theta, \\
z^7 &= \left(4n^2 + \frac{1}{4}\kappa^{-2}\right)^{1/2}N\cos\theta, \\
z^8 &= \left(4n^2 + \frac{1}{4}\kappa^{-2}\right)^{1/2}N\sin\theta,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

we produce

$$(dz^4)^2+(dz^5)^2+(dz^6)^2-(dz^7)^2-(dz^8)^2 = \left(\frac{d\tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}}{dr}\right)^2 dr^2+\tilde{\Sigma}(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta d\phi^2)-\left(4n^2+\frac{1}{4}\kappa^{-2}\right)\left[\left(\frac{dN}{dr}\right)^2 dr^2+N^2 d\theta^2\right]. \tag{2.14}$$

By adding up the results in (2.11) and (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -(dz^0)^2+(dz^1)^2-(dz^2)^2+(dz^3)^2+(dz^4)^2+(dz^5)^2+(dz^6)^2-(dz^7)^2-(dz^8)^2 \\ &+\left[N^{-2}-\kappa_H^{-2}\left(\frac{dN}{dr}\right)^2-\left(\frac{d\tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}}{dr}\right)^2\right]dr^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where we have exploited the following ansatz for the relation between κ and κ_H in (2.7)

$$\kappa^{-2}=\frac{4}{3}\kappa_H^{-2}+\frac{16}{3}n^2. \tag{2.16}$$

After tedious algebra for removing the coordinate singularity at $r=r_+$, we obtain

$$N^{-2}-\kappa_H^{-2}\left(\frac{dN}{dr}\right)^2=\frac{l^2A(r)B(r)}{(r-r_-)(r^2+n^2)^3[r^2+(r_++r_-)r-c](r_+-r_-)^2(2r_+^2+r_+r_--c)^2}, \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(r) &= (r^2+n^2)^2(r_+-r_-)(2r_+^2+r_+r_--c)+(r_+^2+n^2)\{2r^5+4n^2r^3-(r_++r_-)(r_+r_-+c)r^2 \\ &+[2n^2(r_+r_--(r_++r_-)^2-c)+2r_+r_-c]r+n^2(r_++r_-)(r_+r_-+c)\}, \\ B(r) &= -2(r_+^2+n^2)r^4-[r_+r_-(r_++r_-)+(r_+-r_-)c+2n^2r_+]r^3-[r_+^2r_-(r_++r_-)+r_+(r_+-r_-)c+4n^4 \\ &+6n^2r_+^2]r^2+\{2r_+^2r_-c-4n^4r_+-n^2[2r_+^3+r_+r_-(r_++r_-)+(r_+-3r_-)c]\}r-2n^4(r_+^2-r_-^2-r_+r_--c) \\ &+n^2r_+(r_++r_-)(r_+r_-+c). \end{aligned}$$

Here we emphasize that the ansatz in (2.16) plays a crucial role in removing the coordinate singularity at $r=r_+$ in the process to evaluate (2.17). Next, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d\tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}}{dr}\right)^2=\frac{C(r)^2}{l^2(r^2+n^2)^3\{l^2(r^2+n^2)^2+4n^2(r-r_+)(r-r_-)[r^2+(r_++r_-)r-c]\}}, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(r) &= l^2r(r^2+n^2)^2+2n^2(r^2+n^2)\{4r^3+2[r_+r_--(r_++r_-)^2-c]r+(r_++r_-)(r_+r_-+c)\} \\ &-4n^2r(r-r_+)(r-r_-)[r^2+(r_++r_-)r-c]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Now, we redefine the last piece in (2.15) as

$$\left[N^{-2}-\kappa_H^{-2}\left(\frac{N}{dr}\right)^2-\left(\frac{d\tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}}{dr}\right)^2\right]dr^2=(dz^9)^2-(dz^{10})^2. \tag{2.20}$$

In order to split into positive and negative parts, we reshuffle terms in the numerator in (2.17) to arrive at the (6+5)-dimensional GEMS structure

$$ds^2=-(dz^0)^2+(dz^1)^2-(dz^2)^2+(dz^3)^2+(dz^4)^2+(dz^5)^2+(dz^6)^2-(dz^7)^2-(dz^8)^2+(dz^9)^2-(dz^{10})^2, \tag{2.21}$$

where $(z^0,...,z^8)$ are given by (2.10) and (2.13) together with (2.16). The (z^9,z^{10}) are found to become

$$\begin{aligned} z^9 &= \int dr\ \left[\frac{l^2\{[c_1(r)-cc_2(r)][c_5(r)-cc_6(r)]+[c_3(r)-cc_4(r)][c_7(r)-cc_8(r)]\}}{(r-r_-)(r^2+n^2)^3[r^2+(r_++r_-)r-c](r_+-r_-)^2(2r_+^2+r_+r_--c)^2}\right]^{1/2}, \\ z^{10} &= \int dr\ \left[\frac{l^2\{[(c_1(r)-cc_2(r)][c_7(r)-cc_8(r)]+[c_3(r)-cc_4(r)][c_5(r)-cc_6(r)]\}}{(r-r_-)(r^2+n^2)^3[r^2+(r_++r_-)r-c](r_+-r_-)^2(2r_+^2+r_+r_--c)^2}+\left(\frac{d\tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}}{dr}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_1(r) &= 2(r^2+n^2)^2(r_+-r_-)r_+^2+(r_+^2+n^2)[2r^5+4n^2r^3-(r_++r_-)r_+r_-r^2],\\
c_2(r) &= (r^2+n^2)^2(r_+-r_-)+(r_+^2+n^2)[(r_++r_-)r^2+2(n^2-r_+r_-)r],\\
c_3(r) &= n^2(r_+^2+n^2)\{2[(r_++r_-)^2-r_+r_-]r-(r_++r_-)r_+r_-\}-(r^2+n^2)^2(r_+-r_-)r_+r_-,\\
c_4(r) &= n^2(r_+^2+n^2)(r_++r_-),\\
c_5(r) &= -r_+r_-(r_++r_-)r^3-r_+^2r_-(r_++r_-)r^2-n^2r_+r_-(r_++r_-)r+2n^4r_-^2,\\
c_6(r) &= (r_+-r_-)r^3+r_+(r_+-r_-)r^2+[-2r_+^2r_-+n^2(r_+-3r_-)]r,\\
c_7(r) &= 2(r_+^2+n^2)r^4+2n^2r_+r^3+2n^2(3r_+^2+2n^2)r^2+2n^2r_+(r_+^2+2n^2)r+2n^4r_+(r_+-r_-)-n^2r_+^2r_-(r_++r_-),\\
c_8(r) &= n^2[2n^2+r_+(r_++r_-)],
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.23}
$$

and $\left(d\tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}/dr\right)^2$ is given by (2.18). In our analysis we exclude the possibility of $r=r_-$, because at that point (z^9,z^{10}) in (2.22) is singular. We have imposed a restriction that $r_-<0$ and $r_++r_->0$. Moreover, in the vanishing l^{-2} limit, as in (3.4) r_- becomes negative and it satisfies $r_++r_->0$. The restriction implies that the roots r_+ and r_- in the case of the Taub-NUT-AdS are deformed continuously from the Taub-NUT to maintain the condition $r_-<0$ and $r_++r_->0$. From now on, we will keep the above restriction and we will use the same notations for $r_\pm$ as those for the case of the Taub-NUT-AdS, even though the values of $r_\pm$ are different depending on the four-metrics of interest such as the Taub-NUT metric.

Next, for the case of $0<r<r_+$ with $r_-<0$ and $r_++r_->0$, the four-metric (2.8) is modified as

$$
ds^2=\bar{N}^2dt^2+4n\bar{N}^2\cos\theta dtd\phi+4n^2\bar{N}^2\cos^2\theta d\phi^2-\bar{N}^{-2}dr^2+\Sigma(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta d\phi^2),
\tag{2.24}
$$

where

$$
\bar{N}^2=\frac{(r_+-r)(r-r_-)[r^2+(r_++r_-)r-c]}{l^2(r^2+n^2)}.
\tag{2.25}
$$

We thus readily find (8+3) GEMS structure

$$
ds^2=+(dz^0)^2-(dz^1)^2+(dz^2)^2-(dz^3)^2+(dz^4)^2+(dz^5)^2+(dz^6)^2+(dz^7)^2+(dz^8)^2+(dz^9)^2-(dz^{10})^2,
\tag{2.26}
$$

with coordinate transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^0 &= \kappa^{-1}\bar{N}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sinh\kappa(t+2n\phi),\\
z^1 &= \kappa^{-1}\bar{N}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cosh\kappa(t+2n\phi),\\
z^2 &= \kappa^{-1}\bar{N}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sinh\kappa(t-2n\phi),\\
z^3 &= \kappa^{-1}\bar{N}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cosh\kappa(t-2n\phi),\\
z^4 &= (\Sigma-4n^2\bar{N}^2)^{1/2}\sin\theta\cos\phi,\\
z^5 &= (\Sigma-4n^2\bar{N}^2)^{1/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi,\\
z^6 &= (\Sigma-4n^2\bar{N}^2)^{1/2}\cos\theta,\\
z^7 &= \left(4n^2+\frac{1}{4}\kappa^{-2}\right)^{1/2}\bar{N}\cos\theta,\\
z^8 &= \left(4n^2+\frac{1}{4}\kappa^{-2}\right)^{1/2}\bar{N}\sin\theta,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.27}
$$

and (z^9,z^{10}) is given by (2.22).

III. PARAMETER REDUCTIONS OF TAUB-NUT-ADS GEMS

A. Taub-NUT limit

Now, we investigate a Taub-NUT spacetime limit by exploiting the parameter reduction process associated with vanishing l^{-2}. Using the parameter reduction in (2.2) and (2.3), we find for $r \geq r_+$

$$\Delta_{TN} = r^2 - 2Mr - n^2, \quad \Sigma_{TN} = \Sigma = r^2 + n^2, \tag{3.1}$$

to yield the Taub-NUT spacetime metric

$$ds^2_{TN} = -N^2_{TN}dt^2 - 4nN^2_{TN}\cos\theta dtd\phi - 4n^2N^2_{TN}\cos^2\theta d\phi^2 + N^{-2}_{TN}dr^2 + \Sigma_{TN}(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \tag{3.2}$$

Here we find

$$N^2_{TN} = \frac{(r-r_+)(r-r_-)}{(r^2+n^2)}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $r_\pm$ are given by

$$r_\pm = M \pm (M^2+n^2)^{1/2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Here one notes that r_+ is an event horizon and r_- is negative. Moreover, we obtain in the vanishing l^{-2},

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{TN} = \Sigma_{TN} + 4n^2N^2_{TN}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$(\kappa^{TN})^{-2} = \frac{16}{3}\left[\left(\frac{(r_+)^2+n^2}{r_+-r_-}\right)^2 + n^2\right]. \tag{3.6}$$

We proceed to exploit the parameter reduction and we find modified coordinate transformations associated with the (6+5) GEMS structure in (2.21). The coordinate transformations $(z^0, ..., z^8)$ are obtained by replacing the N, $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and κ with N_{TN} in (3.3), $\tilde{\Sigma}_{TN}$ in (3.5) and κ^{TN} in (3.6), respectively. Moreover, we find the coordinate transformations for (z^9, z^{10}) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} z^9 &= \int dr \left[\frac{c_2(r)c_6(r) + c_4(r)c_8(r)}{(r-r_-)(r^2+n^2)^3(r_+-r_-)^2}\right]^{1/2}, \\ z^{10} &= \int dr \left[\frac{c_2(r)c_8(r) + c_4(r)c_6(r)}{(r-r_-)(r^2+n^2)^3(r_+-r_-)^2} + \left(\frac{d\tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}_{TN}}{dr}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $c_i(r)$ $(i = 2, 4, 6, 8)$ can be read off from (2.23) and $\left(d\tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}_{TN}/dr\right)^2$ is given by

$$\left(\frac{d\tilde{\Sigma}^{1/2}_{TN}}{dr}\right)^2 = \frac{\{r(r^2+n^2)^2 + 2n^2(r^2+n^2)[2r-(r_++r_-)] - 4n^2r(r-r_+)(r-r_-)\}^2}{(r^2+n^2)^3\{(r^2+n^2)^2 + 4n^2(r-r_+)(r-r_-)\}}. \tag{3.8}$$

The above GEMS results can be also evaluated [1] by making a start from the Taub-NUT metric (3.2) without the parameter reduction process.

Next, for the case of $0 < r < r_+$, we find modified coordinate transformations associated with the (8+3) GEMS structure in (2.26). The coordinate transformations $(z^0, ..., z^8)$ are obtained by replacing the N^2_{TN} in (3.3) and $\Sigma_{TN} + 4n^2N^2_{TN}$ with $\bar{N}^2_{TN}$ and $\Sigma_{TN} - 4n^2\bar{N}^2_{TN}$, respectively, where

$$\bar{N}^2_{TN} = \frac{(r_+-r)(r-r_-)}{(r^2+n^2)}. \tag{3.9}$$

The coordinate transformations for (z^9, z^{10}) are given by those in (3.7).

---

[1] In Ref. [32], there are typographical errors in expressions for (z^9, z^{10}) of the Taub-NUT GEMS.

B. Schwarzschild-AdS limit

In order to investigate Schwarzschild-AdS spacetime limit, we perform the parameter reduction process associated with vanishing n. Using the parameter reduction in (2.2) we find the Schwarzschild-AdS spacetime metric

$$ds^2_{SA} = -N^2_{SA}dt^2 + N^{-2}_{SA}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$N^2_{SA} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{r^2}{l^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Here three roots of $N^2_{SA} = 0$ are given by one positive real root and two imaginary ones:

$$\begin{aligned} r &= r_H, \\ r &= r_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}\left[-r_H \pm i(3r^2_H + 4l^2)^{1/2}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

An algorithm for roots of a cubic equation is summarized in Refs. [53, 54].

Next, we obtain in the vanishing n limit of (2.3), (2.7) and (2.12),

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{SA} = \Sigma_{SA} = r^2, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\kappa^{SA} = \kappa^{SA}_H = \frac{3r^2_H + l^2}{2l^2 r_H}. \tag{3.13}$$

Because the Schwarzschild-AdS metric does not contain $dtd\phi$-term, we do not need four coordinate transformations as in (2.10). Instead, we take an ansatz for (z^0, z^1) for $r \geq r_H$:

$$\begin{aligned} z^0 &= (\kappa^{SA}_H)^{-1} N_{SA} \sinh \kappa^{SA}_H t, \\ z^1 &= (\kappa^{SA}_H)^{-1} N_{SA} \cosh \kappa^{SA}_H t. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Similarly, in this limit, $(z^4, ..., z^8)$ in (2.13) merge into (z^2, z^3, z^4) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 &= r\sin\theta\cos\phi, \\ z^3 &= r\sin\theta\sin\phi, \\ z^4 &= r\cos\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where we have used the identity (3.12).

By exploiting the results in (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$ds^2_{SA} = -(dz^0)^2 + (dz^1)^2 + (dz^2)^2 + (dz^3)^2 + (dz^4)^2 + \left[N^{-2} - (\kappa^{SA}_H)^{-2}\left(\frac{dN_{SA}}{dr}\right)^2 - 1\right] dr^2. \tag{3.16}$$

Defining the last term in (3.16) as

$$\left[N^{-2} - (\kappa^{SA}_H)^{-2}\left(\frac{dN_{SA}}{dr}\right)^2 - 1\right] dr^2 = (dz^5)^2 - (dz^6)^2 \tag{3.17}$$

we arrive at [25–27]

$$\begin{aligned} z^5 &= \int dr \left[\frac{l^2(r^2_H + l^2)^2 r_H (r^2 + r_H r + r^2_H)}{(3r^2_H + l^2)^2 r^3 (r^2 + r_H r + r^2_H + l^2)}\right]^{1/2}, \\ z^6 &= \int dr \left[\frac{(9r^4_H + 10r^2_H l^2 + l^4)(r^2 + r_H r + r^2_H)}{(3r^2_H + l^2)^2 (r^2 + r_H r + r^2_H + l^2)}\right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

At this stage, the parameter reduction scheme used in the case of Taub-NUT limit is not applicable to the Schwarzschild-AdS case, because the Taub-NUT possesses at least two real roots (r_+, r_-) while the Schwarzschild-AdS has one real and two imaginary roots (r_+, r_1, r_2). Finally we find the (5+2) GEMS structure:

$$ds^2_{SA} = -(dz^0)^2 + (dz^1)^2 + (dz^2)^2 + (dz^3)^2 + (dz^4)^2 + (dz^5)^2 - (dz^6)^2. \tag{3.19}$$

Finally, for the case of $r < r_H$, the four-metric (3.10) is modified as

$$ds^2_{SA} = \bar{N}^2_{SA} dt^2 - \bar{N}^{-2}_{SA} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$\bar{N}^2_{SA} = -1 + \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{r^2}{l^2}. \tag{3.21}$$

We thus readily find (5+2) GEMS structure

$$ds^2_{SA} = +(dz^0)^2 - (dz^1)^2 + (dz^2)^2 + (dz^3)^2 + (dz^4)^2 + (dz^5)^2 - (dz^6)^2. \tag{3.22}$$

with coordinate transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} z^0 &= (\kappa^{SA}_H)^{-1} \bar{N}_{SA} \sinh \kappa^{SA}_H t, \\ z^1 &= (\kappa^{SA}_H)^{-1} \bar{N}_{SA} \cosh \kappa^{SA}_H t, \end{aligned}$$

and $(z^2, ..., z^6)$ are given by those in (3.15) and (3.18).

C. Schwarzschild limit

In the limit of vanishing n and l^{-2}, we find the lapse function for the Schwarzschild black hole:

$$N^2_S = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}. \tag{3.23}$$

Applying the parameter reduction scheme to the Schwarzschild-AdS in vanishing l^{-2} limit, one finds that the coordinate transformations for (z^5, z^6) merge into z^5 for $r \geq r_H$ [25–27]

$$z^5 = \int dr \ \left[\frac{r_H(r^2 + r_H r + r^2_H)}{r^3} \right]^{1/2}, \tag{3.24}$$

with $r_H = 2M$. The other coordinate transformations can be readily read off from (3.14) and (3.15), to yield (5+1)-dimensional GEMS structure.

Finally, for the case of $r < r_H$, we find modified coordinate transformations associated with the (5+1) GEMS structure. The coordinate transformations (z^0, z^1) are obtained by replacing the N^2_S in (3.23) with $\bar{N}^2_S$ where

$$\bar{N}^2_S = -1 + \frac{2M}{r}.$$

The coordinate transformation for z^5 is given by that in (3.24) and (z^2, z^3, z^4) are given by those in (3.15).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have constructed a global flat embedding of a Taub-NUT-AdS spacetime to yield (6+5) GEMS. In the vanishing cosmological constant limit, we have formulated a Taub-NUT GEMS using the parameter reduction algorithm. In the vanishing monopole strength limit of the Taub-NUT-AdS, the parameter reduction has been shown not to be successfully applicable to the Schwarzschild-AdS, because there exist imaginary roots of Schwarzschild-AdS lapse function associated with event horizon. It has been also shown in the vanishing cosmological constant limit that the Schwarzschild GEMS is readily formulated by applying the parameter reduction to the Schwarzschild-AdS. Finally, for the Taub-NUT-AdS, Taub-NUT, Schwarzschild-AdS and Schwarzschild manifolds, we have constructed the GEMS for the patches inside the event horizons of these manifolds. It will be interesting to extend the results of the Taub-NUT-AdS GEMS to the Taub-Bolt-AdS metric [55].

[1] A. Taub, Ann. Math. 53, 472 (1951).

[2] E.T. Newman, L. Tamburino, and T. Unti, J. Math. Phys. 4, 915 (1963).
[3] E. Witten, JHEP 0906, 067 (2009).
[4] J. Jezierski and M. Lukasik, Class. Quant. Grav. 24, 1331 (2007).
[5] S.A. Cherkis, Commun. Math. Phys. 290, 719 (2009).
[6] V. Kagramanova, J. Kunz, E. Hackmann and C. Lammerzahl, Phys. Rev. D 81, 124044 (2010).
[7] I. Bena, S. Giusto and C. Ruef, JHEP 1106, 140 (2011).
[8] F. Beyer and J. Hennig, Class. Quant. Grav. 29, 245017 (2012).
[9] A. Moroianu and S. Moroianu, Commun. Math. Phys. 305, 641 (2011).
[10] R. Jante and B.J. Schroers, JHEP 1401, 114 (2014).
[11] Y. Bardoux, M.M. Caldarelli and C. Charmousis, JHEP 1405, 039 (2014).
[12] S.W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. A 60, 81 (1977).
[13] N.S. Manton, Phys. Lett. B 110, 54 (1985).
[14] M.F. Atiyah and N. Hitchin, Phys. Lett. A 107, 21 (1985).
[15] A. Awad and A. Chamblin, Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 2051 (2002).
[16] D. Bini, C. Cherubini, M. de Mattia and R.T. Jantzen, Gen. Rel. Grav. 35, 2249 (2003); D. Bini, C. Cherubini, R.T. Jantzen and B. Mashhoon, Class. Quantum Grav. 20, 457 (2003); D. Bini, C. Cherubini, R.T. Jantzen and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. D 67, 084013 (2003).
[17] J. Jezierski and M. Lukasik, Class. Quant. Grav. 24, 1331 (2007).
[18] E. Kasner, Am. J. Math. 43, 130 (1921).
[19] C. Fronsdal, Phys. Rev. 116, 778 (1959).
[20] A. Friedman, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37, 201 (1965).
[21] H. F. Goenner, General Relativity and Gravitation, edited by A. Held (Plenum, New York, 1980).
[22] J. Rosen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37, 204 (1965).
[23] H. Narnhofer, I. Peter, and W. Thirring, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 10, 1507 (1996).
[24] A. Chamblin and G. W. Gibbons, Phys. Rev. D 55, 2177 (1997).
[25] S. Deser and O. Levin, Class. Quant. Grav. 14, L163 (1997).
[26] S. Deser and O. Levin, Class. Quant. Grav. 15, L85 (1998).
[27] Y.W. Kim, Y.J. Park and K.S. Soh, Phys. Rev. D 62, 104020 (2000).
[28] S.T. Hong, Y.W. Kim and Y.J. Park, Phys. Rev. D 62, 024024 (2000).
[29] S.T. Hong, W.T. Kim, Y.W. Kim and Y.J. Park, Phys. Rev. D 62, 064021 (2000).
[30] S.T. Hong, W.T. Kim, J.J. Oh and Y.J. Park, Phys. Rev. D 63, 127502 (2001).
[31] S.T. Hong, Gen. Rel. Grav. 36, 1919 (2004).
[32] S.T. Hong and S.W. Kim, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 56, 1633 (2010).
[33] R. Banerjee and B.R. Majhi, Phys. Lett. B 690, 83 (2010).
[34] S.T. Hong, arXiv:1209.4563.
[35] S.T. Hong, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 64, 1928 (2014).
[36] E. J. Brynjolfsson and L. Thorlacius, JHEP 0809, 066 (2008).
[37] Y. W. Kim, J. Choi and Y. J. Park, Phys. Rev. D 89, 044004 (2014).
[38] S.W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. 42, 199 (1975).
[39] W.G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 14, 870 (1976).
[40] H.Z. Chen, Y. Tian, Y.H. Gao and X.C. Song, JHEP 0410, 011 (2004).
[41] N.L. Santos, O.J.C. Dias and J.P.S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D 70, 124033 (2004).
[42] Y. Tian, JHEP 0506, 045 (2005).
[43] E. Radu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 21, 4355 (2006).
[44] P. Langlois, Annals Phys. 321, 2027 (2006).
[45] J.G. Russo and P.K. Townsend, Class. Quant. Grav. 25, 175017 (2008).
[46] J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 7, 2333 (1973).
[47] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1849 (1992); M. Bañados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D 48, 1506 (1993).
[48] S. Carlip, Class. Quantum Grav. 12, 2853 (1995).
[49] D. Cangemi, M. Leblanc, and R.B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D 48, 3606 (1993).
[50] K. Schwarzschild, Sitzber. Deut. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, KI. Math. Phys. Tech., pp. 189-196 (1916).
[51] H. Reissner, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 50, 106 (1916); G. Nordström, Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet. 20, 1238 (1918).
[52] C.S. Peca and J.P.S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D 59, 124007 (1999); P. Mitra, Phys. Lett. B 459, 119 (1999); S.W. Hawking and H.S. Reall, Phys. Rev. D 61, 024014 (1999); B. Wang, E. Abdalla, and R.K. Su, ibid. Phys. Rev. D 62, 047501 (2000).
[53] E.W. Weisstein, CRC Concise Encyclopedia of Mathematics (Chapman & Hall/CRC, New York, 2003).
[54] S.T. Hong and Y. Kim, arXiv:1309.2177.
[55] S.T. Hong, in preparation.